



**INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ACUTE LEUKEMIAS 2027 (iSALXX)
Munich, March 14-17, 2027**

IMPORTANT INFORMATION FOR APPLICANTS

Criteria: What criteria should my work meet?

CONTENT ASPECTS

Presentation of the Problem/Research Question/Working Hypothesis

- Comprehensive and appropriate presentation of the topic. Why are the topic and research question relevant? Why should the research question or problem be addressed?
- Is the research question sufficiently justified? Is it addressed, and if so, how is it addressed throughout the work?
- Is a working hypothesis formulated?

Relevance and Objectives

- What is the goal of the work? Which topic (positioning of the title topic) is being addressed?
- What relevance do the topic and research question have for current therapeutic and treatment strategies as well as for the current state of research on acute leukemias?
- What underlying assumptions does the research question rely on? Which perspectives are described?
- Originality of the topic: Has the topic been addressed in previous works? Does the work build on the current state of research?
- Does the work sufficiently describe and justify all raised questions?

Adequate Treatment of the Topic

- Selection of literature: Does the work adequately describe the current state of research through the referenced literature?
- Which literature, apart from the author's own research results, is referenced to draw conclusions? In which theories and frameworks is the perspective embedded?

METHODOLOGICAL ASPECTS

Explanation, Justification, and Reflection of the Methodological Approach

- Does the work explain the methodological approach? Is the choice of method(s) justified? Does the choice of method appear suitable in relation to the research question?
- Are statements and results comprehensible? Are statements substantiated (through citations, the author's own empirical findings)?
- Alignment between objectives and methodology: How is the work structured? Exploration (exploratory study), description (descriptive study), analysis (analytical empirical study), evaluation (evaluation study).

Nature and Presentation of Results

- What sources were used?
- Are descriptions and arguments sufficiently substantiated?
- Analysis and presentation of results:
 - How are the results presented?
 - Does the work present a systematic evaluation of the results—for example, are the same investigation criteria applied in the analysis of case studies, projects, etc., to allow for comparison of results?
 - Forms of presentation: text, visualizations such as diagrams and drawings, tables, material (e.g., DVDs).
 - Are the results contextualized (e.g., in relation to literature or foundational principles, ensuring a cohesive narrative)?

Quality, Scope, and Currency of Literature

- Is the state-of-the-art presented?
- Which works are referenced, and in what form and to what extent have similar research questions been addressed in previous studies? How does the author's work differentiate from these?
- Are there current publications on the topic that are not mentioned or insufficiently included in addressing the research question?
- Are specific aspects of the topic and research question repeatedly cited or discussed in literature?